

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/828,342	04/06/2001	Claude Basso	RAL920000114US1	1717	
26675	7590 02/22/2005		EXAMINER		
DRIGGS, LUCAS BRUBAKER & HOGG CO. L.P.A. 35800 CHARDON ROAD			PEYTON, TA	PEYTON, TAMMARA R	
	WILLOUGBY HILLS, OH 44094		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182		
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/200	DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/828,342	BASSO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tammara R Peyton	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 D</u>	ecember 2004.					
· ·	·					
	, <del>-</del>					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11 and 13-19 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-11,13,14,16 and 17 is/are recommendation 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 15 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ег.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b></b>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/828,342

Art Unit: 2182

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-11 and 13, 14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barrall et al., (US 6,826,615).

As per claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-11, 13, 14, 16, and 17, Barrall teaches a method/system of determining when a frame of information comprised of one or more data buffers of information being transmitted in a network processor has completed transmission by a transmission system therein, comprising the steps of: providing a

Art Unit: 2182

plurality of buffer control blocks, each having space for control information to link one buffer to another for transmitting information in each data buffer, each of said buffer control blocks having a last bit flag bit having a first position wherein an additional data buffer is to be chained to a previous data buffer and a second position wherein no additional data buffer is to be chained to a previous buffer; (col. 14, lines 20-col. 18, lines 1-50, Fig. 12c) and supplying the position of said last bit flag bit in each buffer control block to the transmission system of said network processor. (Abstract, Figs. 1-12c).

As per claims 5 and 13, error correction code for buffer are well known in the art, thereby making use of this well known technique obvious to one of ordinary skill.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18 and 19 are allowed over the prior art.

Claims 7 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2182

272-4157. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin, can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, 2011 South Clark Place, Customer Window

Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby Room 1B03, Arlington, VA, 22202Crystal Park II, 2121.

Tammara Peyton <sup>[</sup>

February 18, 2005